## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

TRUSTEES of the IRON WORKERS TRI-STATE WELFARE PLAN,	)
Plaintiffs,	) CIVIL ACTION
v.	)
HAMPTON IRONWORKS, INC.,	) CASE NO.
Defendant.	)

## **COMPLAINT**

Plaintiffs, TRUSTEES of the IRON WORKERS TRI-STATE WELFARE PLAN, ("Trust Fund"), by its attorney, Daniel P. McAnally, complain of the Defendant, HAMPTON IRONWORKS, INC. and allege as follows:

- 1. This action arises under Section 502 of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act ("ERISA") (29 U.S.C. §1132). Jurisdiction is founded on the existence of questions arising there under.
- 2. The Trust Fund receives contributions from numerous employers pursuant to Collective Bargaining Agreements between the employers and the International Association of Bridge, Structural and Ornamental and Reinforcing Iron Workers ("Union"), and therefore are multi-employer plans. (29 U.S.C. §1002). The Trust Fund is administered in Mokena, Illinois and venue is proper in the Northern District of Illinois.
- 3. The Defendant, is an employer engaged in an industry affecting commerce which entered into an Agreement whereby it agreed to be bound by the provisions of a Collective Bargaining Agreement with the Union and to any subsequent Collective Bargaining Agreements.

- 4. The Collective Bargaining Agreement also binds the Defendant to the provisions of the Agreements and Declarations of Trust which created the Trust Fund.
- 5. The Collective Bargaining Agreement and Trust Agreement require the Defendant to submit monthly reports listing the hours worked by its iron worker employees and to make concurrent payment of contributions to the Trust Fund based upon the hours worked by its iron worker employees.
- 6. The Plaintiffs attempted to audit the books and records of the Defendant to verify that all contributions were submitted for the period January 2015 through present. The Defendant failed to produce the books, records and information required to complete the audit.
- 7. Delinquent employers are required to pay, in addition to the amounts determined to be due, liquidated damages, interest, reasonable attorney fees, court costs, audit fees and other reasonable costs incurred in the collection process.
  - 8. Plaintiffs have complied with all conditions precedent in bringing this suit.
- 9. Plaintiffs have been required to employ the undersigned attorneys to collect the monies that may be found to be due and owing from Defendants.
- 10. Defendants are obligated to pay the attorney fees and court costs incurred by the Plaintiffs pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §1132(g)(2)(D).
- 11. Pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §1132(g)(2)(B), the Plaintiffs are entitled to interest on any monies that may be found to be due and owing from the Defendants.
- 12. Pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §1132(g)(2)(C), Plaintiffs are entitled to an amount equal to the greater of:
  - a) double interest on the unpaid contributions; or
  - b) interest plus liquidated damages not in excess of 20% of the amount that is due.
- 13. Pursuant to the Trust Agreements, Plaintiffs are entitled to liquidated damages at the rate of 1.5% compounded monthly.

## WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray:

- a) That the Defendant be ordered to produce all books, records and information to complete the audit and pay all contributions shown to be due.
- b) That the Plaintiff be allowed to submit as estimate of the amount of contributions due should the Defendant fail to submit to the audit.
- c) That the Defendant be ordered to pay liquidated damages pursuant to the Trust Agreements.
- d) That the Defendant be ordered to pay interest on the amount that is due pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §1132 (g)(2)(B).
- e) That the Defendant be ordered to pay interest or liquidated damages on the amount that is due pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §1132 (g)(2)(C).
- f) That the Defendant be ordered to pay the reasonable attorney's fees and costs incurred by the Plaintiffs pursuant to the Trust Agreements and 29 U.S.C. §1132 (g)(2)(D).
- g) That Plaintiffs have such other and further relief as by the Court may be deemed just and equitable all at the Defendant's costs pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §1132(g)(2)(E).

By: <u>/s/ Daniel P. McAnally</u>
Attorney for Plaintiffs

Daniel P. McAnally McGann, Ketterman & Rioux 111 East Wacker Drive, Suite 2600 Chicago, IL 60601 (312) 251-9700 dmcanally@mkrlaborlaw.com